



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES: DEPLY NETMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/827,489	(04/06/2001	Jarmo Makela	297-006914-US (C01)	5743	
2512	7590	02/27/2003				
PERMAN		N	EXAMINER			
425 POST R FAIRFIELD		24		HOOSAII	HOOSAIN, ALLAN	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2645		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•							
Office Action Summary	09/827,489	MAKELA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Allan Hoosain	2645 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	<u> August 2001</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-9,12,13,15-21 and 23-40</u> is/are rejected.							
7) \boxtimes Claim(s) 2,3,10,11 and 22 is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,10,11 and 22</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> 	5) Notice of Informal f	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 2-3, 10-11 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/827,489 Page 3

Art Unit: 2645

3. Claims 1-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims

1-32 of U. S. 6,301,338 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to

exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is

covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter,

as follows:

US 6,301,338, recites limitations which are substantially the same even though broader in

scope than as recited in the claims of the instant Application. For example, US 6,301,338, Claim

1, recites the types of reply messages which are not in the independent claims of the instant

application but is claimed in dependent claims of the instant application.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting

claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application

which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968).

See also MPEP § 804.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2645

5. Claims 1,4-9, 12-13,15-21 and 23-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wolff et al. (US 5,327,486).

As to Claims 1,20,23-25,29,33 and 37, with respect to Figures 1-7, **Wolff** teaches a method for replying to a call coming to a portable terminal wherein, in response to the incoming call, the portable terminal identifies the caller on the basis of an identification information, or directs the incoming call to another answering service, said reply having a form selectable from a plurality of forms of communication, and wherein said step of identifying the caller is accomplished by said portable terminal, and said step of sending a reply is accomplished by said portable terminal, said portable terminal being capable of performing said step of sending a reply by providing a selected response to said caller exclusively through the action of said portable terminal (Figures 4,8 and Col. 7, lines 42-46).

As to Claim 4, **Wolff** teaches a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein in response to an incoming call, the portable terminal alarms, and if a user gives a certain key command, the portable terminal sends said reply (Col. 5, lines 24-37).

As to Claim 5, Wolff teaches a method in accordance with claim 3, wherein the portable terminal gives a soundless alarm (Col. 7, lines 42-47).

As to Claim 6, **Wolff** teaches a method in accordance with claim 2, wherein the portable terminal routes said call additionally to a usual call answering machine (Col. 5, lines 41-43).

Art Unit: 2645

Page 5

As to Claim 7, **Wolff** teaches a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said reply is at least partly formulated based on the identification of the calling party (Col. 5, lines 57-65).

As to Claim 8, Wolff teaches a method in accordance with claim 7 wherein a reply is sent to certain identified calling parties only (Figure 4).

As to Claim 9, **Wolff** teaches a method in accordance with claim 7, wherein the reply to be sent in response to the incoming call is different according to the respective company said call is coming from (Figures 8 and 10-11).

As to Claims 12-13, **Wolff** teaches a method in accordance with claim 7, wherein said identification of the calling party is based on a telephone notebook comprised by the communication (Col. 5, lines 52-56).

As to Claims 15-18, **Wolff** teaches a method in accordance claim 1, wherein said reply includes time information (Figures 8-9).

As to Claim 19, Wolff teaches a method in accordance with claim 15, wherein when the time until the time expressed by said time information has expired, the function controlling the sending of replies in the portable terminal in response to an incoming call is automatically disconnected (Col. 8, lines 29-40).

Art Unit: 2645

As to Claims 21,26,30,34,38, **Wolff** teaches a portable terminal in accordance with claim 20, further comprising a real time clock and means for including time information in said reply, said forms of communication including a voice message, e-mail message, facsimile, or SMS message (Col. 5, lines 38-40).

Page 6

As to Claims 27-28,31-32,35-36,39-40, **Wolff** teaches a method according to claim 25, wherein said step of taking response action comprises selecting a response action to be taken on the basis of a previously made preselection by a user of the portable terminal (Col. 6, lines 41-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2645

Page 7

8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff in view of

Villa-Real (US 4,481382).

As to Claim 14, **Wolff** teaches a method in accordance with claim 7:

Wolff does not teach the following limitation:

"wherein a reminder to call the identified calling party will be stored into the portable

terminal, in order to be presented to a user later"

However, it is obvious that Wolff suggests the limitation. This is because Wolff teaches

response messages for calling back callers (Figure 9). Villa-Real teaches reminder messages

(Col. 1, lines 50-63). Having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add reminder capability to Wolff's invention

for alerting users as taught by Villa-Real's invention in order to provide reminders to users when

calls become due.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Butler et al. (US 5,754,627) teach sending comfort alerts to users of mobile telephones.

Bremer (US 6,018,671) teaches transmitting selected replies to callers from a mobile phone.

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2645

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 306-0377 (for customer service assistance)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Allan Hoosain** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Fan Tsang**, can be reached on (703) 305-4895.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Allan Hoosain
Primary Examiner
2/24/03